

# IOT PROTOCOL EFFICIENCY

## COMPARING LWM2M AND MQTT

Building IoT solutions requires lots of choices. Organizations need to understand the devices, connectivity, and supporting technologies needed to build, not just a solution, but the most cost-effective solution. MachNation recently published *Comparing the efficiency of LwM2M and MQTT: hands-on test results of two technology clients on a typical IoT device, April 2020*, looking at LwM2M and MQTT, two protocols used to build IoT solutions. Here is a brief summary of the report.

## HIGH-LEVEL FINDINGS

MachNation found LwM2M is **preferable** for solutions that require:

- **Conserving battery life**
- **Operating on constrained networks (e.g., LTE Cat-M1 and NB-IoT) or where network traffic is metered**
- **Devices to remain dormant for long periods**

MachNation recommends that embedded developers implementing a LwM2M-powered solution seek a productized (rather than open source) LwM2M client upon which to build due to support needs.

## LWM2M ADVANTAGES:

# 72%

Less data transfer during the initial device-to-platform connection and after a device reboot.

# 31%

Less data transfer during the ongoing steady state of a device connection.

# 88%

Less data transfer during device observations at 2 updates per minute

# 17%

Less data transfer during a single platform-to-device message push

# 33%

Less power consumption than a similarly-equipped MQTT device irrespective of update interval.\*

\*May be due to in part to SDK.



LwM2M yields important, long-term technical advantages, but more forethought required for implementation during the design and development process.

## IOTEROP'S OPINION



MachNation's report is well-concieved and detailed.



We agree LwM2M manages battery-life and communications more efficiently in most cases.



While both protocols address communication, LwM2M also addresses device management, security & NIDD.



The scope of the report did not address how device management capabilities reduce operational costs.



LwM2M provides greater end-to-end security capabilities: encryption, authentication, & OSCORE.



Finally, we suggest different commercial LwM2M instances perform differently.

## GET STARTED WITH LWM2M

# CLICK HERE TO START BUILDING LWM2M SOLUTIONS.

The information contained in this infographic, other than the section, *IoTerop's Opinion*, comes from IoT performance testing company MachNation. The report, in its entirety, may be found at the MachNation website at [www.machnation.com/research/publications/publication/comparing-the-efficiency-of-lwm2m-and-mqtt-hands-on-test-results-of-two-technology-clients-on-a-typical-iot-device/](http://www.machnation.com/research/publications/publication/comparing-the-efficiency-of-lwm2m-and-mqtt-hands-on-test-results-of-two-technology-clients-on-a-typical-iot-device/).

Suggested additional reading:  
[T-Mobile's IoT Solution Developer Guide](#)